CONSTITUTIONAL LAW § B LAW 5501, CLASS NUMBER 28258 (4 CREDITS) PROFESSOR BERTA E. HERNÁNDEZ-TRUYOL

FALL 2022

TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, & THURSDAY – 9-10:15AM ROOM 345

COURSE DESCRIPTION & SYLLABUS

1. Class Materials:

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, 6th ed., Chemerinsky, 2020. ISBN: 978-1-5438-1307-4.

2022 Case decisions added to syllabus will be on CANVAS.

Unless otherwise indicated, the reading assignments refer to the required casebook. Every student is expected to have completed the assigned readings **prior** to class. Assignment pages refer to the Chemerinsky casebook. Otherwise, as indicated in syllabus, readings are posted on Canvas.

Students also are expected to read additional materials as indicated and as posted on the Canvas page, usually in either pdf or html format. Adobe Reader 6.0 or higher should be used to view pdfs, otherwise you may experience some difficulties. Students should check Prof. Hernández's Canvas Course page on a regular basis for updates to the online materials and readings. Dates of last update are given for your convenience.

Note: In order to inform the course materials, we will often start classes with a current event that implicates the constitutional issue being studied in that class.

2. Course Objective:

The purpose of this course is to provide students with an overview of Constitutional Law as well as to develop your critical thinking. After we review the Constitution and its structure, we will study the Separations of Powers, which includes Judicial, Executive and Legislative powers with the latter also including Federalism (the division of power between Federal and State governments). Following, we will study individual liberties (substantive due process and equal protection). We will take a very brief look at the First Amendment in the context of an assigned case at the end of the course.

3. Student Learning Outcomes:

At the conclusion of the course, students should be able to read and critically analyze cases. Students will have a basic knowledge of and will be able to analyze:

- Separation of Powers and the roles of each branch:
 - Judiciary
 - Executive
 - Congress
- Federalism
- Due Process
- Equal Protection
- Constitutionality of laws in light of the above principles.

4. Attendance:

I take attendance in accordance with University and Law School policy and ABA rules. Law School policy states as follows:

"Class attendance is a primary obligation of each student, whose right to continued enrollment in the course and to take the examination is conditioned upon a record of attendance satisfactory to the professor."

The American Bar Association's standards provide that "regular and punctual class attendance is necessary to satisfy residence and class hour requirements."

Absences for religious reasons are excused in accordance with university policy.

Please be on time as it is distracting for everyone to have persons coming into the classroom after class starts.

5. Testing:

There will be three graded tests during the semester. The first test is closed-book. Students will have 20 minutes to match the names of the cases that we will have covered up to that point in the course with a notable quote from each case. This test will account for 10% of your grade.

The second test will be a closed-book test following the format of the first test in which students will have 30 minutes to match the case quotes with the cases that we have covered up to that point in the course. This test will account for 15% of your grade.

The final exam will be a same day take-home exam. The exam is open-book. It will be distributed and collected electronically on ExamSoft. Further information regarding the exam will be available later in the semester. The exam accounts for 75% of the grade.

There will also be a mandatory 30-minute essay test approximately in the middle of the semester in which the students will be able to use their Chemerinsky 6^{th} edition casebooks only. This will be graded for your information as $\sqrt{-}$, $\sqrt{}$, or $\sqrt{+}$.

There also will be three ungraded but required reflection pieces that should be 3-5 pages in length (12-point font, double spaced). The first piece will be at the end of the first section: separation of powers; the second at the end of Protection of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties; and the third at the end of Substantive Due Process. Each should focus on a case or series of cases that had an impact on you, that had a significant effect on how you think about the law

6. Class Participation:

Class participation is an important part of the course. I anticipate that students will keep up with the reading and be prepared for class discussion. The most instructive and enjoyable classes are those in which many people take part in the conversation. I understand that some students are eager to speak while others are reluctant to do so. While I will call on volunteers, I will also call on others. If you are not prepared for class on a particular day, please let me know **before** class and I will not call on you that day. We all have unexpected things happen that can derail our plans. I look forward to everyone contributing their insights in class. Failure to participate in any of the required class obligations may negatively affect your grade.

7. Class Preparation:

Given that we meet three times per week for a 4-credit-hour course, anticipate spending about 3-4 hours preparing for *each* class meeting. Prep time includes reading the materials, briefing the cases, thinking critically about the materials and asking questions about what you have read (Does the decision make sense?, Is the decision correct in light of the law?, Does the law make sense? Why or why not?, etc.) as well as synthesizing your learning and knowledge of, and questions about, the assigned materials. The case briefs must be written, and I will from time to time ask you to turn in your briefs.

8. Grades:

The Levin College of Law's mean and mandatory distributions are posted on the College's website and this class adheres to that posted grading policy. The following chart describes the specific letter grade/grade point equivalent in place:

Letter Grade	Point Equivalent
A (Excellent)	4.0
A-	3.67
B+	3.33
B (Average)	3.0
B-	2.67
C+	2.33
С	2.0
(Satisfactory)	
С-	1.67
D+	1.33
D (Poor)	1.0
D-	0.67
E (Failure)	0.0

Grading is in accordance with Law School policy, which is available at: *https://www.law.ufl.edu/life-at-uf-law/office-of-student-affairs/current-students/academic-policies*.

9. Accommodation:

Students with needs for accommodations should see Student Affairs whose staff will work with students according to Law School and University policy.

10. Internet Policy and Related Issues:

The internet, and more generally your laptop, is a great learning tool and invaluable asset to effective advocates. It should be used during class time as you will use it in practice: responsibly and ethically, consistent with the high professional standards that will be expected of you by your clients and by those who will employ you. This is important not only to you, but also to all the students around you who are affected by how you use your computer. It can be distracting to your classmates if you use your computer for activities unrelated to class. When you are talking, you should lower your laptop to facilitate communication.

Cell phones, tablets, and other electronic equipment should be turned off and not used during class. If you need to leave your phone on vibrate to receive an emergency call, please feel free to do so.

11.Academic Misconduct:

Academic honesty and integrity are fundamental values of the University community. Students should be sure that they understand the UF Student Honor Code at *http://www.dso.ufl.edu/students.php*.

12.Office Hours:

My office is 319-A, my office number is 273-0928, and my email is <u>hernandez@law.ufl.edu</u>. I have an open-door policy.

13. Course Evaluations:

Students are expected to provide professional and respectful feedback on the quality of instruction in this course by completing course evaluations online via GatorEvals. Guidance on how to give feedback in a professional and respectful manner is available at https://gatorevals.aa.ufl.edu/students/. Students will be notified when the evaluation period opens, and can complete evaluations through the email they receive from GatorEvals, in their Canvas course menu under GatorEvals, or via https://ufl.bluera.com/ufl/. Summaries of course evaluation results are available to students at https://gatorevals.aa.ufl.edu/public-results/.

14. The assignment sheet is attached.

CLASS	BASICS	ASSIGNMENT
	I. Introduction	Pages
1	The Constitution, Scavenger Hunt (Canvas)	XXXIX - LV
1		
	SEPARATION OF FEDERAL POWERS	
	II. The Federal Judicial Power (Role of Judiciary)	
2&3	A. Authority for Judicial Review Trump v. Hawaii, Marbury, Martin, Cohens	395-406; 1-11
4 & 5	B. Limits on the Federal Judicial Power	11-13
100	1. Interpretive Limits	207 200 12 22
	How should the Constitution be interpreted? – Nixon, Heller – NYS Rifle & Pistol Assoc. v. Bruen	286-290; 13-32 CANVAS (Supp. 1-30)
	III. Federal Executive Power (Division of Power Between	САМУАЗ (Зарр. 1-30)
	Executive & Legislative)	
(9 7	A. Inherent Presidential Power	275-290
6&7	Youngstown, review Nixon & Trump, Trump v. Vance,	CANVAS (Suppl67-95)
	Trump v. Mazars	202 202 204 212
	B. Legislative Veto (Nondelegation Doctrine) and Its Demise <i>Chadha, W.V. v. EPA</i> (Congress did not delegate)	292-293; 304-312 CANVAS (Supp. 95-96)
	C. Separation of Powers & Foreign Policy	Grivviis (5upp. 75-70)
	1. Difference between foreign & domestic policy	339-351
	Curtiss Wright, Zivotofsky v. Kerry	
	2. Treaties & Executive Agreements	351-354
	Dames & Moore v. Regan 3. War Powers	254 250
	AUMF – Is it appropriate delegation?	354-358
	D. Presidential Power & the War on Terrorism	
	1. Detentions – Hamdi, Boumediene	358-387
	2. Military Tribunals – <i>Quirin</i>	387-395
	IV. The Federal Legislative Power	
	A. Congress & the States: Supremacy of Federal Law Framework McCulloch	119-130
	B. The Necessary & Proper Clause	155
	<i>Comstock</i> (in note)	
	C. The Commerce Power 1. Initial Scope of Commercial Power	155-159
	Gibbons	100 107
	2. 1890s-1937: Limited Federal Commerce Power	159-166
	a. What is commerce?	
	Knight, Carter Coal (both in notes)	
	b. What does "among the states" mean?	
	1. Direct Effect – <i>Shreveport Rate</i> (in notes) 2. Indirect Effect – <i>Schechter Poultry</i> (in notes)	
	3. Stream of Commerce – <i>Swift, Stafford, Alton RR</i>	
	(all in notes)	
	c. Social Welfare: State Sovereignty Limits Federal Power	166-187
	Hammer, Ames (both in notes)	
	3. 1937-1990s: Broad Federal Commerce Power – Demise of	

1	
State Sovereignty Jones, Darby, Wickard, Heart of Atlanta, Katzenbach, Hodel (skim), Perez (skim), Garcia (skim) 4. 1990- Revival of State Sovereignty: 10th Amend.	187-199; 207-215
a. Congress' Authority to Regulate Commerce Among States <i>Lopez, Raich</i> b. Giving Context to the 10th Amend. <i>New York, Printz, Condon, Sebelius, Murphy</i>	216-236; 130-154; 236- 240
INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES AS A LIMIT ON THE POWER OF GOVERNMENTS	
V. Protection of Civil Rights & Civil Liberties	
A. Bill of Rights & 14th Amendment 1. Application of BOR to states – Privileges and Immunities Clause	503-516
 Barron, Slaughterhouse Cases, Saenz 2. The controversy over incorporation of BOR into D/P Clause of 14th Amend. Palko (skim), Adamson (skim), Duncan (skim), Timbs, Ramos v. Louisiana, McDonald Review B. The Application of the BOR to Private Conduct 1. Requirement of State Action (waving the wand) 	516-532 CANVAS (Suppl. 141-144) 532-536
Civil Rights Cases: Stanley 2. Exceptions to State Action a. Public functions Marsh, Terry, Evans (skim all 3) b. Entanglement Shelley, Burton (skim), Moose Lodge (skim) Norwood (skim), Brentwood 4. Inaction as State Action?	536-540; 543-546; 551- 552 553-556; 561-568; 571- 578
DeShaney	1134-1140
VI. Substantive D/P	
A. Economic Liberties	579-584
1. Introduction 2. The Lochner Era: The Rise of Substantive D/P (using	584-599
freedom of K to limit government economic regulations) Lochner, Muller, Adkins, Weaver 3. The End of Substantive D/P – Starting in 1937	599-606
Parrish, Carolene Products, Lee Optical B. Family Education/Privacy 1. Introduction: Fundamental Rights 2. Family Autonomy	903-909
 2. Family Autonomy a. Right of Parents to control upbringing of children, <i>Meyer</i>, <i>Pierce</i> b. Marriage, <i>Loving</i>, <i>Obergell</i> c. Family togetherness <i>Moore</i> d. Right to custody of one's children – who is a parent? <i>Stanley</i>, <i>Michael H</i> 	942-945 909-915; 1031-1042 938-941 929-938
e. Grandparents	945-950

Troxel	
C. Individual Autonomic & the Right to Die	
Cruzan Glucksberg	
D. Reproductive Autonomy	
1 Right to procreate	950-952
Buck, Skinner	
2. Purchase & use of contraceptives	952-961
Griswold, Eisenstadt	
3. Termination	961-987; 1006-1011;
Roe, Casey, Hellerstedt, June Medical,	CANVAS (Suppl. 157-206)
4. The Future of SDP? - <i>Dobbs</i>	Gii Wild (Suppl. 137 200)
4. The Future of SDI : - Dobbs	
C. Individual Autonomy & the Dight to Die	CANVAS
C. Individual Autonomy & the Right to Die	
Cruzan, Glucksberg	1015-1030
VII. Equality: Equal Protection	
VII. Equally. Equal 1100Ecuon	
A. Introduction: What is equality?	
B. What is rational? Does law have a legitimate purpose?	689-691; 707-711
Cleburne	
C. Race & National Origin: Strict Scrutiny	
1. Slavery	711-718
Dred Scott	728-738; 1121-1128
2. Separate but equal	/20 / 50, 1121 1120
From Plessy to Brown, Rodriguez	510 500
3. Strict scrutiny	718-728
Korematsu, Loving, Palmore	
4. Racially neutral laws with discriminatory impact:	
Proof of discriminatory purpose	738-742; 752-754
	/ 50 / 12, / 52 / 51
Washington v. Davis, Palmer	700 712,702 701
Washington v. Davis, Palmer	790-792; 802-833
Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action	790-792; 802-833
Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender	
Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action <i>Grutter, Gratz, Fisher</i> D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny	790-792; 802-833 836-851
Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action <i>Grutter, Gratz, Fisher</i> D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny <i>Frontiero, Craig, VMI</i>	790-792; 802-833
Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender	790-792; 802-833 836-851
Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig	790-792; 802-833 836-851
Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig 3. Gender Classifications Benefitting Women	790-792; 802-833 836-851 851-854
 Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig 3. Gender Classifications Benefitting Women Rostker, Califano, Nguyen, Sessions (notes) 	790-792; 802-833 836-851 851-854 862-876
 Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig 3. Gender Classifications Benefitting Women Rostker, Califano, Nguyen, Sessions (notes) E. S.O.: E/P & S-D/P Meet—The Ga(y)ping Hole 	790-792; 802-833 836-851 851-854
 Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig 3. Gender Classifications Benefitting Women Rostker, Califano, Nguyen, Sessions (notes) E. S.O.: E/P & S-D/P Meet—The Ga(y)ping Hole Romer, Lawrence, Windsor (notes), Obergefell review, Pavan 	790-792; 802-833 836-851 851-854 862-876 876-891
 Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig 3. Gender Classifications Benefitting Women Rostker, Califano, Nguyen, Sessions (notes) E. S.O.: E/P & S-D/P Meet—The Ga(y)ping Hole 	790-792; 802-833 836-851 851-854 862-876 876-891 Note at 696; 900-901;
 Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig 3. Gender Classifications Benefitting Women Rostker, Califano, Nguyen, Sessions (notes) E. S.O.: E/P & S-D/P Meet—The Ga(y)ping Hole Romer, Lawrence, Windsor (notes), Obergefell review, Pavan 	790-792; 802-833 836-851 851-854 862-876 876-891 Note at 696; 900-901; 691-696; 1031-1042;
 Washington v. Davis, Palmer 5. Affirmative action Grutter, Gratz, Fisher D. Gender 1. Level of scrutiny Frontiero, Craig, VMI 2. Proving gender Geduldig 3. Gender Classifications Benefitting Women Rostker, Califano, Nguyen, Sessions (notes) E. S.O.: E/P & S-D/P Meet—The Ga(y)ping Hole Romer, Lawrence, Windsor (notes), Obergefell review, Pavan 	790-792; 802-833 836-851 851-854 862-876 876-891 Note at 696; 900-901;