SYLLABUS BUSINESS ASSOCIATIONS (Spring 2024)

PROFESSOR: Robert J. Rhee

CONTACT: Holland Hall # 312C

352-273-0958 rhee@law.ufl.edu

CLASSROOM: Holland 355C

CLASS TIME: Tues., Wed., Thurs.: 3:30pm to 4:45pm (1 hour 15 minutes)

OFFICE HOURS: Tues. & Wed.: 11am to 1pm

o Office hours will always be consistent with the prevailing

UF policy.

Office hours can be through Zoom, telephone, or in person

(if UF policy permits), and there are no restrictions on any

of these methods.

FINAL EXAM: To be determined (4 hours)

TEXTBOOKS

Robert J. Rhee, LLCs, Partnerships, and Corporations (West 2021)

COURSE DESCRIPTION

This course provides comprehensive coverage of the major business organizations, including general partnerships, limited partnerships, limited liability companies, and corporations. It emphasizes the major differences among these entities and the role of contracting for the rules of internal affairs. Topics include rules dealing with formation, agency, management structures, admissions and dissociations, fiduciary duties, corporate governance, shareholder litigation, and fundamental transactions.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

An understanding of business associations is required to practice business law. Modern business law practice broadly uses the corporate form and noncorporate entities, including the limited liability company. This course teaches the major business organizations, including general partnerships, limited partnerships, limited liability companies, and corporations.

After completing this course, students should be able to:

1. Know and analyze the legal rules of internal affairs, including the distinction between default and mandatory rules, for all major business organizations.

- 2. Consider issues related to advising business organizers and managers with respect to formation and maintenance of business organizations.
- 3. Know the rules and principles of fiduciary duties applicable in different settings and business organizations.
- 4. Know the differences between the corporate form and noncorporate business entities.

REQUIRED READING MATERIALS

Please be sure to register for the Canvas course and have any required materials with you in print or easily accessible electronic form in class. You are responsible for checking your Canvas page and the e-mail connected to the page on a regular basis for any class announcements or adjustments.

COURSE EXPECTATIONS AND GRADING EVALUATIONS

Students will be evaluated based upon on a final exam. Grading will be consistent with College of Law policy. Your grade will be based on a final exam (100% of the final grade). Exam grades are done on a blind basis. All grades are final. There will be no regrading or revisions from me, except to correct any mathematical or clerical errors in computing the final score.

FINAL EXAM FORMAT

The final exam will follow UF's "online open-book" policy. This is an open book online exam. Students can use their textbooks and any other source including the internet, with two exceptions: (1) students may not contact any person for assistance during this exam (contact means asking a person via phone, text, chat, or any other communication means); (2) students may not use any artificial intelligence platforms, software, or services, such as for example ChatGTP or similar kinds of products or services. This exam must be a solo effort by the student without the assistance from any persons or artificial intelligence products. Your professor has chosen the "Fixed (Pre-scheduled Set Date and/or Time)" option. The final exam will be a one-day remote exam that is scheduled on a fixed date, just like an in-class exam (subject to any approved accommodation by exam administrators, such as time conflicts and approved delays).

The final exam may have essay, short answer, and multiple choice questions. Essay questions will be an issue-spotting, analysis, or problem-solving format typically seen in most law school exams. The issues and topics for exam questions will come from the course readings and class discussions. The exam will fairly reflect the work that is done in the course. This means that the best way to prepare for the exam is to do the class readings and to attend class.

Makeup Exam Policy: see https://www.law.ufl.edu/life-at-uf-law/office-of-student-affairs/current-students/forms-applications/exam-delays-accommodations-form.

ACADEMIC HONESTY

Academic honesty and integrity are fundamental values of the University community. Students should be sure that they understand the UF Student Honor Code at http://www.dso.ufl.edu/students.php.

ABA OUT-OF-CLASS HOURS REQUIREMENTS

ABA Standard 310 requires that students devote 120 minutes to out-of-class preparation for every "classroom hour" of in-class instruction. It is anticipated that you will spend approximately 2 hours out of class reading and/or preparing for in class assignments for every 1 hour in class. Outside of class including reading the assigned materials and developing your critical analyses of the materials.

CLASS ATTENDANCE POLICY

Attendance in class is required by both the ABA and the Law School. Attendance will be taken at each class meeting. Students are allowed six (6) absences during the course of the semester. Students are responsible for ensuring that they are not recorded as absent if they come in late. A student who fails to meet the attendance requirement will be dropped from the course. The law school's policy on attendance can be found here.

UF LEVIN COLLEGE OF LAW STANDARD SYLLABUS POLICIES

Other information about UF Levin College of Law policies, including compliance with the UF Honor Code, Grading, Accommodations, Class Recordings, and Course Evaluations can be found at this link: https://ufl.instructure.com/courses/427635/files/74674656?wrap=1.

COURSE SCHEDULE OF TOPICS AND ASSIGNMENTS

This syllabus is offered as a guide to the direction of the course. Our pace will depend in part on the level of interest and the level of difficulty of each section and is subject to change.

ONLINE (ZOOM) CLASS SESSIONS

Classes may be held on Zoom as announced. These class sessions will be recorded.

ASSIGNMENTS

IMPORTANT NOTE TO STUDENTS: The syllabus will be continuously updated throughout the course. The average class reading (1 hour 15 minutes of class time) is 22 pages (a total of about 873 pages over 39 class sessions). Please read at least 15 pages ahead of the current assignment for the class session in the event that a particular class moves faster than anticipated.

Class #	Topics, Issues, and Cases	Text pages
1	 CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF BUSINESS ENTITIES Relevance of business entities Differences between corporations and NBEs Fraser v. Major League Soccer, LLC Taxonomy of corporations Taxonomy of NBEs Sources of law and internal affairs 	1-25
2	 CHAPTER 1: OVERVIEW OF BUSINESS ENTITIES Sources of law and internal affairs Azure Dolphin, LLC v. Barton Heaps v. Nuriche, LLC Problem: Friends Consider a Startup CHAPTER 2: FORMING THE ENTITY Nature and essential attributes of business entities Reynolds v. Lyman State v. Brelvis Consulting LLC Gould v. City of Stamford 	26-52
3	 CHAPTER 2: FORMING THE ENTITY Attorney engagement In re Murrin Brothers 1885, Ltd. Forming general partnerships Martin v. Peyton Minuit Maid Corp. v. United Foods, Inc. 	52-75
4	 Forming general partnerships Energy Transfer Partners, L.P. v. Enterprise Prod. Partners, L.P. Forming limited liability entities McDonough v. McDonough In re Delaware Sports Complex, LLC Guy Named Moe, LLC v. Chipotle Mexican Grill of Colorado, LLC 	76-101

5	 Partnership and operating agreements In re Nantucket Island Assoc. Ltd. Partnership Unitholders Litig. Contract interpretation principles Issues to consider for NBEs 	101-124
6	CHAPTER 3: LIABILITY TO THIRD PARTIES	125-145
	Rule of limited liability in corporations	
	 Walkovszky v. Carlton ORT Associates v. IBC Services, Inc. 	
	 ORT Associates v. IBC Services, Inc. Freeman v. Complex Computing Co., Inc. 	
	Rule of limited liability in NBEs	
	o Gateway Potato Sales v. G.B. Investment Co.	
7	Pulo of limited lightliter in NIDEs	145-168
/	 Rule of limited liability in NBEs Alphonse v. Arch Bay Holdings, LLC 	143-106
	Direct liability for one's own conduct	
	o Fifth Third Mortgage Co. v. Kaufman	
	o Cortez v. Bacco Material Handling Group, Inc.	
	Veil piercing in NBEs	
	o Dailey v. Ayers Land Development, LLC	
8	Veil piercing in NBEs	168-190
	o GreenHunter Energy, Inc. v. Western Ecosystems Technology,	
	Inc.	
	o In re Phillips	
	Successor liability	
	Issues to consider for NBEs	
	Problem: Entrepreneurs Think about Liability	
		10: 2:=
9	CHAPTER 4: FINANCE	191-217
	Introduction to equity financingShareholders	
	Members and partners	
	Kilpatrick v. White Hall on MS River, LLC	
	o In re Carlisle Etcetera LLC	
	Capital accounts	
	• Contributions o Fox v. I-10 Ltd.	
	○ Fox v. I-10 Ltd.	
10	Profit and loss, and distribution	217-234
10	• From and ioss, and distribution	Z17 - Z34

	Kessler v. Antinora	
	Improper distribution and liability	
	 Admissions and dissociations of partners and members 	
	 Interests as securities 	
	• Interests as securities	
11	Interests as securities	234-246
11		247-259
	 SEC v. Merchant Capital, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs 	247-239
	Problem: Entrepreneurs Obtain Financing	
	CHAPTER 5: AGENCY	
	Theory of agency and its costs	
	Legal definition of agency and agent	
	Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc.	
	Thing of Enouge Chair of Chairing Vittee, Inc.	
12	CHAPTER 5: AGENCY	259-288
	Legal definition of agency and agent	
	 Lang v. Lions Club of Cudahy Wisc., Inc. 	
	 Segal v. Genitrix, LLC 	
	Authority, estoppel, and ratification	
	Gay Jensen Farms Co. v. Cargill, Inc.	
	Principal's liability for agent's contracts and wrongful acts	
	Thomas D. Philipsborn Irrevocable Trust v. Avon Capital, LLC	
	o Ira S. Bushey & Sons, Inc. v. U.S.	
	 Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth 	
	O Buttington maustres, me. v. Enerm	
13	Agency and limited liability	288-292
	Problem: Entrepreneurs Begin Managing	293-316
	CHAPTER 6: MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE	
	Three basic forms of management	
	Centralized management and corporations	
	Management structures of NBEs	
	o Florida R & D Fund Investors, LLC v. Florida BOCA/Deerfield	
	R & D Investors, LLC	
	, and the second	
14	CHAPTER 6: MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE	316-340
	Voting rights	
	Insurance and indemnification	
	Sandt v. Energy Maintenance Servs. Group I, LLC	
	Trascent Management Consulting, LLC v. Bouri	
	Information rights	
	Issues to consider for NBEs	
	Problem: Entrepreneurs Think Seriously about Governance	
15	CHAPTER 7: FIDUCIARY DUTY	341-368
13	Introduction to fiduciary duties	J 1 1-J00
	• Introduction to fluctary duties	

	 Traditional approach to NBEs Meinhard v. Salmon In re USACafes, L.P. Litigation Red River Wings, Inc. v. Hoot, Inc. 	
	o In re Sky Harbor Hotel Properties, LLC	
16	 Traditional approach to NBEs Acorn v. Moncecchi Wilson v. Gandis McConnell v. Hunt Sports Enterprises Delaware approach to contracting for fiduciary duty 	368-392
	Delawate approach to contracting for flutciary duty	
17	 Delaware approach to contracting for fiduciary duty Bay Center Apartments Owner, LLC v. Emery Bay PKI, LLC Norton v. K-Sea Transp. Partners L.P. Obligation of good faith and fair dealing Gerber v. Enterprise Prods. Hldgs., LLC Dieckman v. Regency General Partner LP 	393-414
18	 Fiduciary duty to creditors CML V, LLC v. Bax Actions by partners and members Fritchel v. White Anglo American Security Funds, L.P. v. S.R. Global International Fund, L.P. 	414-438
19	 Actions by partners and members Saunders v. Briner Marx v. Morris Woodside Properties, LLC v. MKR Development, LLC Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Find a Deal and a Problem CHAPTER 8: TRANSFER OF INTEREST AND DISSOCIATION Transfers of interest in corporation Transfers of interest in NBEs SP Investment Fund III, LLC v. Zell 	438-468 469-476
20	 CHAPTER 8: TRANSFER OF INTEREST AND DISSOCIATION Transfers of interest in NBEs Bauer v. Blomfield Co./Holden Joint Venture 	476-499
	 Transfers of interest in NBEs Northeast Communications of Wisconsin, Inc. v. CenturyTel, Inc. Weddell v. H2O, Inc. Law v. Zemp JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. McClure 	

	Dissociation United States v. Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC Column v. Parabler	
	 Gelman v. Buehler Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP v. Assam 	
22	 Dissociation Congel v. Malfitano IE Test, LLC v. Carroll Buy-sell agreements 	522-546
23	 Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Learn that Ownership Is Not Permanent CHAPTER 9: DISSOLUTION AND MERGERS Dissolution Dysart v. Dragpipe Saloon, LLC Dissolution Haley v. Talcott Styslinger v. Brewster Park, LLC 	546-569
24	 CHAPTER 9: DISSOLUTION AND MERGERS Dissolution In re Carlisle Etcetera LLC Beaudry v. Harding Guenther v. Ryerson Mergers and conversions Corwin v. KKR Financial Holdings LLC Allison v. Eriksson 	569-599
25	 Issues to consider for NBEs Problem: Entrepreneurs Go Bigtime, Maybe CHAPTER 10: INTRODUCTION TO CORPORATIONS Corporations in society Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission Major differences between NBEs and corporations Why corporations 	599-604 605-626
26	 CHAPTER 10: INTRODUCTION TO CORPORATIONS Delaware corporation law CHAPTER 11: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Board of directors Cinerama, Inc. v. Technicolor, Inc. Joy v. North Shlensky v. Wrigley 	626-632 633-642

27	Board of directors	642-664
21	 Board of directors Kamin v. American Express Co. 	042-004
	O Rumin 0. American Express Co.	
	CHAPTER 11: CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	
	• Officers	
	Shareholders	
	Corporate governance	
	o Bayer v. Beran	
28	CHAPTER 12: DUTY OF CARE	665-686
	Care, risk-taking and business judgment	
	o In re Citigroup Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation	
	Duty to be informed	
	o Smith v. Van Gorkom	
	Exculpation	
29	CHAPTER 12: DUTY OF CARE	688-694
29	Aiding and abetting breach of duties	695-709
	RBC Capital Markets, LLC v. Jervis	0,5707
	The Computer Harmond, all Conference	
	CHAPTER 13: DUTY OF LOYALTY	
	Self-dealing and conflict of interest transactions	
	o Benihana of Tokyo, Inc. v. Benihana, Inc.	
	o Gantler v. Stephens	
20		5 00 501
30	CHAPTER 13: DUTY OF LOYALTY	709-731
	Self-dealing and conflict of interest transactions Reserve College Victoria Conference Victoria Victoria	
	 Broz v. Cellular Information Systems, Inc. Bad faith 	
	o In re Walt Disney Co. Derivative Litigation	
	 In re Vait Bishey Co. Bertouttee English In re Caremark International Inc. Derivative Litigation 	
	o Stone v. Ritter	
31	Bad faith	731-752
	o In re Citigroup International Inc. Derivative Litigation	
	Marchand v. Barnhill	
	o In re Massey Energy Co. Derivative Litigation	
	Controlling shareholders Singlein Oil Come to Lamiour	
	o Sinclair Oil Corp. v. Levien	
32	Controlling shareholders	752-776
32	Weinberger v. UOP Inc.	752-776
	 Solomon v. Pathe Communications Corp. 	
	Kahn v. Lynch Communications System, Inc.	
	o Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp.	
33	CHAPTER 14: SHAREHOLDER LITIGATION • Information rights	777-793

	 Seinfeld v. Verizon Communications, Inc. 	
	Direct and derivative suits	
	o Tooley v. Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette, Inc.	
	Plaintiff standing in derivative actions	
	o In re Fuqua Industries, Inc. Shareholder Litigation	
	Lambrecht v. O'Neal	
	O Lumorechi o. O Ineui	
34	- Demand and demand furtification desirections actions	793-795
34	Demand and demand futility in derivative actions	
	o Do <u>not</u> read <i>Aronson v. Lewis</i> on pages 796-802 (this case	802-808
	will be covered by professor's lecture)	
	o Read instead <i>United Food and Commercial Workers Union v.</i>	
	Zuckerberg (provided on Canvass)	
	o Cede & Co. v. Technicolor, Inc.	
35	Demand and demand futility in derivative actions	808-822
	o In re eBay, Inc. Shareholder Litigation	
	Beam ex rel. Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia v. Stewart	
	 Kahn v. M & F Worldwide Corp. 	
	o Ruin 6. W o i v voituwith Corp.	
36	CHAPTER 15: ISSUES IN MERGERS & ACQUISITIONS	823-837
30	M&A basics	023 037
	o Bove v. Community Hotel Corp. v. Newport, R.I.	
37	Entrenchment and defenses	837-858
		037 030
	O Unocal Corp. v. Mesa Petroleum Co. Poylor and its program.	
	Revlon and its progeny	
	o Revlon, Inc. v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc.	
	o Paramount Communications, Inc. v. Time Inc.	
20	D 1 12	050.050
38	Revlon and its progeny	858-873
	o Paramount Communications, Inc. v. QVC Network	
	o Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. v. Airgas, Inc.	
39	OPEN CLASS	
	EINIAI EVANA.	
	FINAL EXAM:	